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Content of today’s lecture

- About civil society theories

- About civil society development in Post-Soviet Russia

- About state policies on civil society in contemporary Russia

- Empirical examples “from below”
Large number of studies and conceptualizations; no consensus definition

However, almost all authors concur that it is “a sphere of public activities by citizens (that is outside of their homes and kinship organizations) that lies outside of state institutions”

I.e. A realm where citizens associate voluntarily, outside their families or businesses or state institutions, to advance their interests, ideas and ideologies

Focus on organized forms of action (CSOs, NGOs)

Focus: civil society’s relationship with the state

The extent to which the state could be involved?

Sharp distinction between the state and civil society: “a dichotomy where those two stand in a zero-sum relationship” (Howard 2003, 38)

Different state-society models
“Scholarly frameworks” on civil society

- Predominance of “democratization framework” in the analyses of state-society relations in the Russian context
  - Focus in democratization processes and consequently political role of civil society
  - Preference on certain activities (advocacy function, societal change)
  - Focus on state policies

- “Welfare framework”
  - Non-profit voluntary third sector
  - Dysfunctionality of the state: to take over where the state or market will not operate – usually in the field of (welfare) service provision
  - Service provider role (apolitical?)

- Two separate roles (policy-advocacy vs. service-provision role)?
Investigations of Russian Civil society

- Predominance of democratization framework
  - Liberal” approaches dominant
    - “Paradigm of conflict”: civil society against the state
  - Statist conclusions
    - No independent civil society, but co-opted by the state
    - Left tittle room for analyses of more collaborative patterns and the “political potential” of socially oriented activities

- Civil society in the 2000s Russia – weak
  - Socially, practically, apolitically oriented
  - Co-opted by the state
A short history of Russian civil society: the Soviet era

- There was no civil society in the Soviet Union?
  - No: control mechanisms pervasive

- There was something, though
  - 1) Party-controlled “voluntary” organizations, such as women’s councils, youth and disabled organizations, trade unions
    - Their role to ordinary people?
    - Was the state control pervasive?
  - 2) Dissidents
    - Underground, abroad
    - Anti-communist movement, human rights
    - Detached from the ordinary people

- All in all, statist design
A short history: Post-Soviet civil society

- Gorbachev’s perestroika & collapse of the Soviet Union
  - Euphoria and optimism
  - Mushrooming of organizations (in number, fields of activities)
  - Mobilization (against the state; anti-communist)
  - Foreign partners and donors – development and dependency (funds)
    - Western models

- 1995-2000: Institutionalization (after chaos)
  - First legislation concerning civil society organizations
  - Professionalization (cf. foreign assistance: training and funds)
  - Cooperation mechanisms with the authorities, particularly at the local and regional level

- 2000->: Putin’s ‘directed’ or ‘sovereign democracy’
  - Strong but restricted emphasis on civil society
Civil society (and the state) in Putin’s Russia

- CSOs engaged with social welfare
  - Approximately 670,000 civil society organizations (2009)
    - Small number?
  - (Small-scale) service provision
    - Cf. Less that 1 % in GDP
  - Low participation
    - “Standard explanations”
    - Growing number of people

- Selective corporatism: parallel support and control
  - E.g. state subsidies – according to the national priorities
  - New restrictive laws
  - “Thaw” of the Medvedev era

- Legislation on socially oriented organizations
  - More support and outsourcing
  - Ever-increasing social orientation?
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The studied organizations

- **Social welfare organization**: a mission-driven organization whose active participants – paid staff and volunteers – do not belong to a group on behalf of whom the organization works and to whom its activities are addressed; solidaristic, not representative in its nature

- **Membership organization**: organized around a classic representative structure with a clear constituency; run by its members with a delegation of power; serves the interests of these members; collective organization of people based on principles of mutual aid and self-help

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Organization</th>
<th>Membership organization</th>
<th>Social organization</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Association of the disabled</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Association of the visually impaired</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diabetik</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hope</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lifeline</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mental Health</td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>World of Children</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pensioners’ organization</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Youth organization</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
An overview of the local civil society (II)

- Voluntarism
  - Instead of paid staff
- Female predominance
  - In social organizations, in particular
  - Essentialist explanations: unquestioned female responsibility
- Continuation from the Soviet era
  - “I have always been active”
- Finnish influence
  - Certain priorities; certain model
- Moral support from the local government
  - No institutional mechanisms for collaboration, but tight contacts
  - Small town setting
- Social orientation
  - All types (not only social-sector CSOs)
  - Apolitical – (what counts political?)
Quotations

Apolitical work

Nadezha: No, we are not a political organization; that is unequivocal. We provide help in every occasion. When it is election time, women, our members, usually participate in the work of election committees. When it is time for the population census – again everyone says, please give us your activists – it’s good for them also, they [women-members] get some money for their work – and we can be sure that everything goes as it should go. I think that we are here more for solving social problems. On a voluntary basis, because we have so many active women who are ready to help, without any money.

Feminine work

Meri: What about… what do you think, why almost all the activists in civil society organizations are women? That’s what I think. It’s difficult to find a male activist.

Marina: A woman – she’s a mother. They [women] are more emotional. I don’t know, perhaps because she has the motherly instinct (materinskoe nachalo), that’s why she knows. If men volunteered – oh my God! (…) I’m active because of sense of compassion, sense of responsibility, sense of obligation, I don’t know… Maybe I’m more emotional [compared with my husband].

Nina: Because from [her] heart, because it’s [social activism] a question of good things – women have it more deep, and they perceive more. Thus, for women, it’s easier to understand and to be open – how to say – to feel a stranger’s pain or problems. And in general, women belong to the most active layer of the population. And a woman, she is a mother, a wife – for her, it’s easier to see everything, to understand and with a delicate approach. … Because in the work of social organizations, one cannot leap before one looks, but one needs very carefully to consider everything very softly, with a delicate approach to one or another problem. And then, weight these aspects, priorities, what’s most important, and what’s of secondary importance. Though, of course, we have also men among the activists of our social organization [i.e., child protection organization]. But nevertheless, woman is by nature, by her structure of character, and by her in-built strengths more able to help other people. And the very basic goal of the social organizations is to help children, families. That’s why, perhaps, it [social activism] is a truly female task, function. And that’s why mostly women are our members, among active members of the organization. You have observed right. That’s how it is.

Small town context

Nataliia (laughing): Well, you see, the town is small, that’s why it’s easy. With one you studied, with another one kissed, with third person something else…
Role of socially oriented NGOs

- More recent (usually Post-Soviet) social welfare organizations
  - Services and support as primary function
  - Advocacy as a side product
    - Publishing new (sensitive) issues
    - Modifying local welfare scene

- Old Soviet-type membership organizations
  - Interest representation and rights defense as primary function
    - Social rights
  - Assistance with access to social support and welfare services; small-scale services of their own
  - Emotional, peer-support
Public-Civic Combination

- Municipal Center responsible for social services (minimum requirements)
- Most staff members involved in several social organizations, active within the Center, and networked with Finns -> new services initiated through projects, e.g.
  - Crisis center for women suffering from domestic violence;
  - Workshops for the mentally disabled
  - Partly public; partly voluntary
- Blurred boundaries and overlapping roles: where the state ends and society begins?
- Logic: Public-Civic-Combination for better well-being of local community

- Extra services + new clientele = local service structure modified
- Articulation of new identities & sensitive issues
- Negotiating public private boundaries
- Service provision; advocacy as a side product
Old Soviet-type organizations

- E.g. veterans’ and disabled organizations
  - Mass membership organizations
  - Ignored in the Western scholarship on post-socialist civil societies
- Advocacy: securing old in-kind benefits as the main function (cf. Soviet welfare regime)
  - Members’ interest representation
    - “Of all the pensioners”
    - Against federal policies; vis-à-vis local service institutions
    - Politicization of the “offended identities”
- Helping with access to state services
  - Small-scale services of their own
Concluding about role of civil society…

- Social orientation and practical help
- But not: advocacy OR services
- More ”political” function
  - Publishing new issues
  - New identities created
  - Already existing “offended” identities defended
  - Local ”structures” modified (services but also framings)
  - Less (non-existent) ”conventional” policy impact

- Also political is social
  - Resonance in the given context
  - Expectations about the state as major provider of services
    - Cf. Neo-liberal critique
- Finally, sharp distinctions of social vs. political and state vs. civil society not illustrative
Further readings
